



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/747,909	12/22/2000	Lucy Broyles	4013-00100	4442	
30652	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER		
CONLEY RO	•	220	CARTER, MONICA SMITH		
PLANO, TX	E PARKWAY, SUITE 75024	330	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3722	3722	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/747,909	BROYLES, LUCY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Monica S. Carter	3722	

	Monica S. Carter	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•	•			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	ecause:					
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		•			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): 35 U.S.C. 112. 2 <sup>nd</sup> para	agraph.				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			d amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-20,23 and 24</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-20,23 and 24</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	···································	1,00			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		UTUNUU	W Couter			

MONICAS, CARTER PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 10. Other: The examiner maintains the previously set forth rejections. Applicant argues that Alspaw et al. fail to disclose the limitations of Claim 17 which recite that only those pages that were previously selected are bound together. Applicant further argues that it is implicit that the pages deemed unsuitable for memorialization of the experience are not selected and remain loose pages which continue to form part of the partially consumed kit. The examiner asserts that such a limitation limiting the insertion of only selected pages has not been set forth in Claim 17. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. Regarding claim 20, applicant argues that an inherency rejection may only be used when the recited structure and/or method may only function in the claimed manner. The examiner disagrees with applicant's assertion. The theory of inherency may be used if the prior art fails to discuss the intended use but discloses an element that appears to have the ability to perform in the claimed manner. In this case, the display book of Alspaw includes text and pictures wherein the text would be read to a prereader (e.g., toddler), since the prereader would not be capable of reading the text of the pages to himself/herself.